

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,566	12/22/2003	Christopher N. Case	006979-001249	1565	
24239 75	90 11/16/2005		EXAMINER		
MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC			LEE, KYUNG S		
P.O. BOX 13706 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/707,566	CASE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	- (MV)			
		Richard K. Lee	2832				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		ress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE STATE	ATION. Following the description of the second sec	,			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 At	ugust 2005.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-34 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
		diffinor. Note the attached					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>0204</u> .		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mossberg et al. US Pat. 6,318,134.

Mossberg et al. teaches a privacy keypad comprising :

- a face plate (please refer to fig. 1);
- a keypad 22;

at least one protrusion 20 integral with the face plate and extending laterally adjacent the keypad; and

the protrusion having a sufficient height and length to obstruct at least partially a line of sight to the keypad.

Regarding claim 2, please refer to fig. 2.

Regarding claim 3, the protrusion forms an angle of "at least about 10 degrees."

Regarding claim 4, please refer to fig. 3.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the protrusion covers all sides of the keypad to provide a channel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mossberg et al. in view of Priesemuth US Pat. 5,651,450.

Mossberg et al. teaches the claimed invention except for the protrusion comprising of a light source. Priesemuth teaches a protrusion 60 comprising a light source (LED) for the purpose of providing a visional indication. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the protrusion of Mossberg et al. with a light source as taught by Priesemuth, since the light source would provide the device of Mossberg et al. with a visional indication.

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tue & Thu-Fri 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard K. Lee / Primary Examine

Art Unit 2832